

Minutes not yet
approved. Approval
and any edits to be made in
succeeding minutes.

BROOKFIELD WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY

53A Commerce Rd., Unit 1, Brookfield, CT 06804

Wednesday, April 24, 2024

MINUTES

1. Convene Meeting: Chairman N. Malwitz convened the meeting at 7:00 p.m.

WPCA:

N. Malwitz, Chair
J. Murray, Treasurer
L. Donovan, Member
M. Brown, Member
M. Wolk, Alternate

Others:

L. McMahon, Langan Engineering
S. Harding, Commission Attorney (*via Zoom*)
A. Kennedy, Operations Manager
K. McPadden, Executive Administrator
C. Utschig, Langan Engineering
M. Ongaro, Collection Specialist (*via Zoom*)
R. Prinz, Chief of Maintenance
T. Strid, Inspector
E. Boisvert, Recording Secretary

PUBLIC HEARING: To receive public comment from affected property owners with respect to the construction of the proposed Brookfield Market Area Sewer Extension - Chairman N. Malwitz opened the public hearing and read the Legal Notice aloud for the record. L. McMahon of Langan Engineering gave a presentation explaining the construction of the Brookfield Market Area Sewer Force Main Extension and the locations of the five pump stations. L. McMahon also explained that there will be two force mains for dual redundancy, meaning if one main was down, the other would still be operable.

- *7:05 pm Dara Williams, 12 Tucks Rd.* D. Williams asked if the plan was still to make the connection to each property part of this project. McMahon stated she believed that currently, all the private lines are included within the job contract. N. Malwitz stated the cost of the project is \$1.2 million, and he went on to further explain that there has been a grant awarded in the amount of \$500,000 to partially offset the cost. N. Malwitz explained the typical benefit assessment of a property is a maximum of 10% of the Grand List Value and that will be the amount assessed and the rest will be made up by the WPCA. N. Malwitz stated that the WPCA is working out the financing and looking into the possibility of future grants.
- *7:07 pm Alvin Tuck, 14 Tucks Rd.* A. Tuck made an inquiry about the properties that have two septic tanks and asked if those properties were still only paying the 10% of the Grand List, which is determined by the tax assessor. N. Malwitz said yes, the maximum is typically 10. When the project is finished, there will be a benefit assessment of 10% and it will be payable over a number of years.
- *7:09 pm Dara Williams, 12 Tucks Rd.* D. Williams asked about the timeline for the project. A. Kennedy explained that the goal is to get started as soon as possible but there were still engineering details that needed to be worked out. N. Malwitz explained that the CT DOT originally stated that the lines could go under road over the bridge, however when the WPCA submitted the final design, DOT said that it was no longer an option. The sewer lines will have to be hung from the south side of the bridge attached to the bridge deck. L. McMahon stated the design for the 70-100 feet is being redesigned by Lagan Engineering at this time.

After no further comments were made, N. Malwitz closed the public hearing for the Brookfield Market Area Sewer Extension at 7:11 pm.

PUBLIC HEARING: To receive public comment on proposed amendments and revisions to Section 7.5.1, Inspection Fees – Pump Stations and FOG Interceptors and Management Equipment of the Brookfield Sewer Use Rules and Regulations

- Chairman N. Malwitz opened the public hearing and read the Legal Notice aloud for the record. T. Strid presented the discussion stating that there is currently the fee for inspecting grease traps and private pump stations is \$80 a year. Currently this is billed bi-annually in June and December with only one inspection report sent out in December. T. Strid stated based on feedback received, it is proposed to send only one billing in December with the inspection report, with the fee being raised from \$80 to \$100 to offset the cost of inspecting 47 private pump stations and over 147 private grease traps and the administrative costs for the reports and billing.

With no comments were made, N. Malwitz closed the public hearing for the Brookfield Market Area Sewer Extension at 7:13 pm.

PUBLIC HEARING: To receive public comment on proposed amendments and revisions to Section 1.2, Treatment Capacity Management of the Brookfield Sewer Use Rules and Regulations

– Chairman N. Malwitz opened the public hearing and read the Legal Notice aloud for the record. N. Malwitz presented the suggested amendments and revisions to Section 1.2, Treatment Capacity Management of the Brookfield Sewer Use Rules and Regulations. N. Malwitz explained the negotiation with the City of Danbury for the increased the allowance from 380,000 gallons per day to an average of 580,000 gallons per day. N. Malwitz stated that the proposed amendment will raise the gallons allowed per day from 400 to 2,000 gallons per day per acre with a cap of 20,000 gallons per property. He further went on to explain that when the WPCA Commission was considering evaluating this regulation change, that each “unit” would be 130 gallons per day which is about half of what the Department of Public Health says it would normally be provided for a residential unit. N. Malwitz further explained that there was an in-depth study done by Langan Engineering that shows that the Department of Public Health numbers were inflated by at least double the actual numbers. Based on that report, they divided the number from the Department of Health by half, to come up with the 2,000 gallons per day per acre number.

- *7:19 pm Alison Kennedy, Operations Manager, WPCA.* A. Kennedy stated in reviewing the applications that are on the agenda for later in the meeting and other projects in town, she was concerned that design flow in the CT Public Health Code are 150 gallons per day, per bedroom. She stated that there are two different applications on the agenda, one based their design on 150 gallons per day, per bedroom and the other based their design on 90 gallons per day, per capita. She explained that the 90 gallons per day per capita was taken from the City of Danbury’s guidelines and calculations of design flows. A. Kennedy further stated regardless of which design flow they settled on, there were several properties that still wouldn’t make it under the 20,000-gallon maximum, and the 2,000 gallons per acre based on the property size. She advised that this information be taken into consideration before making a final decision on the proposed numbers and that the moratorium would need to be amended to reflect which ever guideline is decided upon, whether it’s the Department of Public Health’s numbers, the city of Danbury’s numbers or a new guideline created for the Town of Brookfield.
- *7:21 pm Shanon Young, Bridgewater.* S. Young asked for a clarification on the study that was done and the conclusion that the actual flows were roughly half of the DPH approximated per bedroom. A. Kennedy explained that the study included not only residential, but commercial as well. S. Young asked if that meant it was an actual number of 75 gallons per day. N. Malwitz explained that the actual number of gallons for residential was typically 90-130 gallons per day, per unit, which is approximately half of the DPH approximate. S. Young inquired about the calculations for commercial properties. N. Malwitz stated commercial properties that are metered,

and the actual flows were still about half of the DPH calculations. L. McMahon stated that they found about half for everything apart from mixed-use properties which had an even greater than half discrepancy, meaning the actual was even less than half.

- *7:23 pm Shanon Young, Bridgewater.* S. Young asked how many users (Customers) there are presently in Brookfield. T. Strid stated that approximately 3,600 “units” are charged out. Mr. Young then asked how many of those presently use 20,000 gallons per day. T. Strid stated that currently, places like grocery stores, BJ’s and Costco are using that amount of water.
- *7:25 pm Steve Sullivan, CCA, LLC. (via Zoom)* S. Sullivan asked for clarification on N. Malwitz’s statement of 130 Gallons per unit, regardless of how many bedrooms. N. Malwitz stated that it has not been decided and has not been put into the proposed regulation yet. S. Sullivan asked if that number will be in the amended regulation. N. Malwitz said yes, some type of calculation will be included for how they get to a design flow. S. Sullivan then asked about the merging and subdivision of properties that is shown on page 2 of Section 1.2 Treatment Capacity Management, asking what the rationale is, stating that it seems that if someone is buying another piece of property to increase the sewage flow, this language would prohibit them from getting that additional flow even though the parcel is permitted to have a certain allocation. N. Malwitz thanked Mr. Sullivan for pointing that out and stated that it would be reviewed. K. McPadden stated that this was already a part of the regulations and was not new to this amendment, that it is an existing regulation as a part of the original moratorium put in place in January of 2023. S. Sullivan providing a scenario of a 12-acre piece of property, would be capped at 20,000 gallons per day and if an adjoining 5-acre parcel was purchased, that should allow for 30,000 gallons per day of flow. L. McMahon stated that she understood what he was saying, but the purpose of the 20,000 cap is that the WPCA has an increase but it is limited and they do not want multiple people to come in with 40,000 gallon plus applications because that would bring about the flow issues, stating it would be up to the commission to keep the current verbiage in place, change the verbiage to provide further clarification, or strike it from the amended Rules and Regulations.
- *7:31 pm Paul Scalzo, Scalzo Commercial and New Development, 731 Federal Rd. & 701 Federal Rd.* P. Scalzo voiced his concern on the vibrancy of the downtown district and the fact that the property he is planning on developing does not meet the requirements of the suggested amendments. He stated that the proposed amendments would inhibit everything going on in the downtown area during the “Covid Economic Surge” that is currently happening and while it could end, the building costs are going up and the interest rates have doubled. He said what is not being seen is the tenant paying more, with that number staying the same as it was 5 – 10 years ago. He stated that he is concerned that these flow numbers will deflect people from wanting to build in the town and builders will go elsewhere to build. P. Scalzo stated he would like to see the restriction lifted in totality and that he is opposed to the restrictions.
- *7:36 pm Roy Young, 1055 Federal Rd.* R. Young stated that he wanted to “underscore” the points made by Mr. Scalzo, but he wanted to discuss more of the commercial aspects of it. He is concerned that his parcel is a 40-acre site, and he is about to entertain some very important Fortune 500 companies, and it is very likely their needs would exceed the 20,000 gallons per day restriction. Mr. Young also discussed hospitals that are looking to re-locate (or locate) in new areas, which would be another need that would exceed 20,000 gallons per day. He stated that this restriction makes it impossible to entertain a hospital or Fortune 500 company and he would have to tell these companies upfront, that he could never get approval for their needs. Mr. Young stated he is opposed to any restrictions. N. Malwitz stated that he understands, but it’s a finite resource and the WPCA must be cognizant of what Brookfield has. The WPCA is not allowed to send any more than 580,000 gallons per day now and long term. Mr. Young inquired about the discussions with the City of Danbury on the allocation amounts and if it is possible to get more gallons at some future date from other towns that may not be using their allocation.
- *7:41 pm Gary Michael, Tollgate of Brookfield, 500-518 Federal Rd. (via Zoom)* G. Michael had a question regarding the study that was done and asked for clarification on the number of years it would take to reach capacity on approved properties. A. Kennedy explained that projects that

have been approved by the Town of Brookfield such as wetland, planning, and zoning, but not specifically approved by the WPCA, were not included in the study performed by Langan Engineering. She went on to further explain that the Town Commissions do not have the purview to take sewer capacity under consideration when they approve or deny a project.

- *7:43 pm Greg Steiner, 2 Parklawn Drive, Bethel.* G. Steiner stated he want to echo the statements of Mr. Young and Mr. Scalzo, and he feels that as a developer, this sets a bad precedent when people realize that they will have to do all of the work and they are not even guaranteed sewer and he feels that he would be more likely to develop in another town that does not have any sewer restrictions. He feels that Brookfield needs more positive growth, and they don't want to subdivide the large parcels, they want to have multi-use with multi-tenants in one big entity and he feels they should not be limited to only 20,000 gallons per day or there will be no further growth.
- *7:45 pm Paul Scalzo, Scalzo Commercial and New Development.* P. Scalzo suggested the possibility of putting together an ad hoc committee of all the developers to speak with the City of Danbury regarding future regional growth. Development goes in cycles with little growth for 10-20 years. He believes that this growth is not going to last and that there may be a chance of missing the "window of opportunities". He stated that right now, as Mr. Young previously stated, there are going to be medical facilities vying for an opportunity to come now. Brookfield can participate if the restrictions are lifted. He believes that there will be a lot of properties that will never get built given the construction costs and interest rates, because the tenants are not willing to pay the prices right now. Developers here hold property in Danbury and neighboring towns. We are all connected as a region, so a conversation with Danbury makes sense.
- *7:47 pm Alison Kennedy, Operations Manager, WPCA.* A. Kennedy stated that she thinks that everyone's points are completely valid, but she thinks it's important to remember that the commissioners do not have the luxury of having an opinion on how beautiful the town looks and how wonderful it would be for the town. They are forced to comply with what there is and it's a balancing act to make sure the rules are fair for everybody and at the same time allow for that kind of growth. She stated she believes that the proposed amendments could use some tweaking.
- *7:49 pm Shanon Young, Bridgewater.* S. Young stressed that they didn't buy these properties not to build on them. They've been waiting for the economy and all of the right conditions to fall into place, they've been paying the assessments the whole time and all of a sudden, now because of the capacity, the projects are restricted. He stresses that it's not fair.
- *7:49 pm Roy Young, 1055 Federal Rd.* R. Young stated that this takes out of play a major player like the Scalzo's, the Steiner's and the Young's. What it does put into play are smaller users, residential users, more apartment houses, people that can live within those guidelines. And in the future, this will be a community that is heavily residential, and there will be the question of what is our tax base? Who is generating the money? Mr. Young stated that Brookfield is very unique in that one side is the Still River and the other side of Route 7 is the mountain. We only have the valley, and, in that valley, there are only two or three pieces of property that can attract a meaningful generator of tax revenue. He asks that the Commission be careful of how they limit those users.
- *7:51 pm Jim Fisher, 12 Aramon Cir, Chairman of the EDC.* J. Fisher asked A. Kennedy to repeat the list of properties that would be problematic based on the proposed amendments. A. Kennedy listed the properties as 20 Station Rd., 101 Laurel Hill Rd., 874 Federal Rd., 854 Federal Rd., and 777 Federal Rd. A. Kennedy went on to state that whether they use the Danbury guidelines or the Department of Public Health Code guidelines, these properties would still not have enough capacity, based on the amended moratorium. Mr. Fisher stated, as an example, that 20 Station Rd. needs to be cleaned up. He understands that there is a limited amount of capacity, but his fear is that the projects that really need to be completed are not going to be done because of these

restrictions. He feels that the WPCA should not scrap the amendment completely but make major changes to it so that it is not restricting larger projects with potential tax revenue.

- *7:56 pm Shanon Young, Bridgewater.* S. Young asked Chairman Malwitz to clarify his comment regarding cleaning up the language on the proposal regarding how the gallon calculation. He asked specifically, what qualifies as getting 20,000 gallons? What standard will be used to get to 20,000 gallons? N. Malwitz stated that there will have to be further discussion by the commission to make that determination.
- *8:01 pm Gary Michael, Tollgate of Brookfield, 500-518 Federal Rd. (via Zoom)* G. Michael stated that he agrees with the rest of the developers present and opposes the proposed amendment as written. He suggested making the decisions on capacities on a discretionary basis, because not all projects are equal and to have that express language with the capacities could have some unintended consequences for the town.

L. Donovan made a motion to Adjourn the public hearing on the proposed amendments and revisions to Section 1.2, Treatment Capacity Management of the Brookfield Sewer Use Rules and Regulations. M. Brown seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

PUBLIC HEARING: To receive public comment on proposed updates to the Brookfield Sewer Service Map, specifically 1 Sand Cut Road and 29 Vale Road – Chairman N. Malwitz opened the public hearing and read the Legal Notice aloud for the record. K. McPadden presented the proposed updates for the Brookfield Sewer Service Map, specifically 1 Sand Cut Rd. and 29 Vale Rd. She explained that 1 Sand Cut Rd. was on the map as “future anticipated” and has since connected, and 29 Vale was on the map incorrectly as sewer avoidance, and they are assessed but not connected. One is a correction, and one is an amendment, because of the connection.

With no comments were made, N. Malwitz closed the public hearing for the proposed updates to the Brookfield Sewer Service Map at 8:06 pm.

2. Approval of Minutes - 3/27/24 - **L. Donovan made a motion to approve the 3/27/24 regular meeting minutes as written. J. Murray seconded the motion and it carried with M. Brown abstaining due to his absence at the March meeting.**

3. Correspondence/Public Comment/Informal Discussion

N. Malwitz read a letter to the WPCA that was received from Maria Edelson, 116 North Lake Drive dated April 21, 2024. The letter inquired about the status of the Candlewood Lake Rd. Sewer project. M. Brown stated for the record that this is a “study” and not a project. He asked how there could be a motion to close a project when there never was a project, only a study. A. Kennedy stated that she has a discussion with Kristi Wagner from CDM Smith and was told that as far as the survey was concerned, there was no indication of a closing date. It was suggested that 45 days would be ample time to conduct the last part of the survey. That would make the last day to respond to the survey, May 15th, which would give CDM Smith enough time to record the responses before the next regular meeting. There was a discussion on how many surveys were received versus how many were sent out.

4. Accountant Report

- a. Monthly Financials – N. Malwitz briefly reviewed the monthly financials in the absence of M. Allred.
- b. Vouchers – K. McPadden reviewed the vouchers for the month of April. L. Donovan inquired about the electric bill and the differences being seen from cost of last year versus this year and if it was a significant difference. K. McPadden responded that it has gone up a little, however she is always looking for the cheapest supplier in order to keep costs down.

M. Brown made a motion to approve the April Vouchers as presented. J. Murray seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

5. Old Business - None

6. New Business

- a. 874 Federal Rd. The Enclave Amended Application – Michelle Morris-Micoli of Artel Engineering was present to discuss the amended application for 874 Federal Rd. She explained that the original approval was given in 2017, however the designs have changed, and they no longer need the capacity they were originally approved for, which was 39,738 gallons per day. The project size has decreased, with the changes the flows are now at 24,621 gallons per day. Which was based on 150 gallons per day per bedroom, and the retail space. There was a discussion about whether the project pre-dates the moratorium. However, S. Harding stated that if they modify the application, they will need to be held to the current regulations. C. Utschig suggested the property be developed with the existing approval that was issued prior to the moratorium restrictions.

M. Brown made a motion to adjourn the amended application for 874 Federal Rd., The Enclave until the next regular meeting. J. Murray seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

- b. 500-518 Federal Rd. Tollgate of Brookfield Application to Connect – Steve Sullivan, CCA, LLC was present via Zoom, to discuss the application to connect at 500-518 Federal Rd. Tollgate of Brookfield. They would like to get the inspection and Engineering review underway. A. Kennedy asked Attorney S. Harding if they can accept the application now or should they wait until the moratorium is amended. Attorney S. Harding stated that when the application would be held to the standards of the version of the moratorium in effect when it is approved, not when the application is accepted. S. Sullivan stated he is aware that they may have to wait for final approval until the moratorium has been amended and is willing to grant an extension should it be necessary.

N. Malwitz made a motion to accept the application for 500-518 Federal Rd. contingent on payment of \$3,000 engineering fee and \$3,000 inspection fee, totaling \$6,000. Acknowledging that the \$3,500 for the community sewer system legal fees has already been paid. The acceptance will also be contingent on the pending modification of the current rules and regulations to Section 1.2, Treatment Capacity Management. L. Donovan seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

- c. Request for 2% CCRCC Deferral – K. McPadden reviewed the requests to defer the 2% capital cost recovery connection charge and is seeking approval from the Commission. She explained that the homeowners paid the required deposits and if approved, the homeowners will have four years to pay the balance in full. K. McPadden explained that there will be a certificate filed on the land records that creates a lien for the property.

L. Donovan made a motion to accept the deferral requests made by 37 Old Route 7 #1, 37 Old Route 7 #9, and 730 Federal Rd. M. Wolk seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

- A. 37 Old Route 7 #1 - Request for 2% CCRCC Deferral – See above.
B. 37 Old Route 7 #9 - Request for 2% CCRCC Deferral – See above.
C. 730 Federal Rd. - Request for 2% CCRCC Deferral – See above.

- d. To Act Upon Proposed Brookfield Market Area Sewer Extension – Chairman N. Malwitz stated for the record that the WPCA has received Public Comment. He then went on to explain that the WPCA is still waiting for engineering to complete the re-design and they are at a standstill until then. N. Malwitz reviewed the proposal request from Langan Engineering dated 4/23/2024 and the proposal from CCA, LLC.

N. Malwitz made a motion to accept the proposal from Langan, dated 4/23/2024 to do the engineering design on the bridge, not to exceed \$10,500 and to accept the proposal from CCA, not to exceed \$10,000 dated 4/22/2024. J. Murray seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

- e. To Act Upon Proposed Regulation Amendment to Section 7.5.1, Inspection Fees – N. Malwitz

stated for the record that the WPCA has had a public hearing and since there were no comments made, he suggested it should be approved.

L. Donovan made a motion to approve the proposed Regulation Amendment to Section 7.5.1, Inspection Fees, after there were no comments during the public hearing. M. Brown seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

- f. To Act Upon Proposed Regulation Amendment to Section 1.2, Treatment Capacity Management – because the hearing was adjourned, N. Malwitz stated that they will schedule a special meeting when they reach section 11 Other WPCA Matters.
- g. To Act Upon Proposed Sewer Service Map Updates – N. Malwitz stated for the record that the WPCA has had a public hearing and since there were no comments made, he suggested it should be approved.

J. Murray made a motion to approve the Proposed Sewer Service Map Updates, after there were no comments during the public hearing. L. Donovan seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

7. Study Updates

- a. Candlewood Lake Sewer Extension Study - Survey is Live – Previously discussed in Agenda item 3 (*Correspondence/Public Comment/Informal Discussion*). There was further discussion of closing out the Survey at 11:59 pm Wednesday May 15th. A. Kennedy stated they will leave the Candlewood Lake Study on the agenda for the May 22, 2024, Regular meeting so that there can be a vote to close out the Study and remove it from the agenda.

8. Project Updates

- a. CT DEEP and Danbury Capacity Update – Chairman N. Malwitz stated that the City of Danbury has signed the MOA stating that the Town of Brookfield has been allocated 580,000 gallons per day and now the only thing pending is the formal InterLocal agreement.
 - b. Dean/Pocono Road Area Project – There was a discussion regarding the *QAPP (Quality Assurance Project Plan)* with the LISFF grantor. It is no longer being needed.
 - c. Brookfield Market Area Project - Previously discussed in Agenda item 6d (*New Business*)
 - d. Route 133 Station Project Phase II – L. McMahon gave an update stating that the electrical engineering is still in process, and she was not able to finalize the packet.
 - e. 777 Federal Rd. Pump Station Upgrade – L. McMahon gave an update regarding an upcoming meeting with R. Prinz and T. Strid to try to finalize details before presenting a proposal to the Commission. T. Strid stressed that there is still a need to purchase the land. Chairman N. Malwitz suggested that T. Strid meet with Attorney S. Harding to go over the details of exactly what is required in order to purchase the additional land needed.
 - f. Rules & Regulations: Standards & Details Update – L. McMahon stated that the revised Standards are ready to be released and they are trying to time it with the decision of the moratorium being set. There was a clarification that proper verbiage should be the Specs & Details. C. Utschig made the clarification that this is the standard specs and details that the WPCA is run on. The moratorium uses a different language; however, the moratorium should be decided on or in place before the Specs & Details can be released with proper wording.
 - g. Other Engineering Matters
No other engineering matters were discussed.
9. Employee Activity Reports – T. Strid discussed his interpretation of hearing all of the developers in the Public Hearing, saying he believes that all of the residential, all of the apartments, are going to eat up all of the flow. He suggested minimizing the flow for residential projects and giving more flow capacity to the commercial and industrial projects. M. Brown stated he understood what he was suggesting but said

the Commission has to work on a first come first served basis, and if the WPCA has the flow, and meets the requirements, it has to be approved.

10. Legal Matters

- a. Collection Update - Attorney Harding gave an update on the collections letters that were sent out based on the list he received from the WPCA Staff.
- b. 53A Commerce Road Association Update – Attorney Harding stated that he has a meeting with Attorney Tim Deakin to review the release letter that was received by the Association and advised the Commission not to sign until he has his meeting.
- c. Code of Conduct – L. Donovan suggested continuing the Code of Conduct discussion until the next meeting.
- d. Other Legal Matters

11. Other WPCA Matters

- a. Brookfield's Plan of Conservation and Development update – A. Kennedy gave a brief update on the request for the POCD by the Town.
- b. L. Donovan spoke to the Commission regarding A. Kennedy obtaining a Project Management Certification through the Charter Oak State College. A. Kennedy gave a brief description of the required courses, tuition, and fees. She continued by stating that in reviewing the budget, there would need to be an additional \$4,000 moved into the Training and Education Expenses and she recommended taking it from the Other Administrative Expenses, prior to the budget getting approved.
- c. M. Brown made the announcement that he will be submitting his resignation, effective July 1, 2024, due to the fact that he has accepted another job, out of state. K. McPadden reminded him to submit his resignation to the Town Clerk's office.
- d. There was a discussion on whether there should be a special meeting regarding the amendments to the moratorium.

J. Murray made a motion to hold a hybrid special meeting on Thursday May 9, 2024, at 7:00pm to further discuss the amendments and revisions to Section 1.2, Treatment Capacity Management of the Brookfield Sewer Use Rules, and Regulations. L. Donovan seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

- e. **M. Brown made a motion to remove section 12, Executive Session - Pending Litigation from the agenda. L. Donovan seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.**

12. Executive Session-Pending Litigation-Removed per motion above.

13. Adjournment - **A motion was made by M. Wolk to adjourn the meeting at 9:45 pm. The motion was seconded by M. Brown and passed unanimously.**

*** Next Regular Meeting May 22, 2024 ***